

Project Name: Tuscany Park Tract 3

Case Number: SP-2019-0575C

Update #: 0

Case Manager: Robert Anderson

Team:

Initial Submittal: December 16, 2019

Formal Filed: January 31, 2020 Date Dist: February 03, 2020

Comments Due Date: February 27, 2020

Discipline Name

Electric Review

ATD Engineering Review

Drainage Engineering Review

Environmental Review

Fire For Site Plan Review

Flood Plain Review

Industrial Waste Review

PARD / Planning & Design Review

Planner 1 Review Site Plan Review

Site Plan Plumbing

R.O.W. Review

Traffic Control Review

Transportation Planning

AW Utility Development Services

Water Quality Review

Wetlands Biologist Review

AW Pipeline Engineering

City Arborist Review

Karen Palacios

Sangeeta Jain

Kena Pierce

Mike Mcdougal

Tom Migl

Karl McArthur

John McCulloch

Scott Grantham

Ramon Rezvanipour Do Distr

Robert Anderson

Cory Harmon

Sarah Doersam

Traffic Control Review

Adam Fiss

AWU-Utility Development Service

Kena Pierce

Staryn J Wagner

AWU-Pipeline Engineering

City Arborist Reviewer



Report run on: 1/31/20

TO:

FROM: SITE PLAN REVIEW DIVISION CASE # SP-2019-0575C

TYPE/SUBTYPE: Site Plan Administrative/Consolidated

PROJECT: Tuscany Park Tract 3
LOCATION: 2401 FERGUSON LN

CASE MANAGER: Robert Anderson PHONE 512-974-3026
FILED FOR UPDATE: COMMENT DUE DATE

TENTATIVE PC DATE REPORT DATE: Mar 02, 2020 12:00

TENTATIVE CC DATE

LANDUSE::

AREA: ACRES (SQ FT) LOTS:

EXISTING ZONING:

EXISTING USE: Vacant

TRACT: ACRES/SQFT PROPOSED ZONING PROPOSED USE

/ Industrial - Complete Propsed Use be

WATERSHED: Walnut Creek,

COUNTY: TRAVIS

JURISDICTION Full-Purpose Suburban Watershed

GRIDS: WATER: COA
GRIDS: ELECTRIC: COA
GRIDS: SEWERAGE: COA

GRIDS:

PROPERTY DESCRIPTION:

PLAT: 0234210501 DEED REFERENCE:

VOL./PAGE 2008206340/

LEGAL DESCRIPTION:

ABS 29 SUR 58 APPLEGATE J ACR 81.433

RELATED CASES (if any):

CONTACTS:

Printed: 1/31/20

8:31AM



Applicant

BOWMAN CONSULTING GROUP

512-672-8974

Mahmoud Dehaybi

1120 S CAPITAL OF TEXAS HIGHWAY Suite 220 AUSTIN TX 78746

Owner

DALFEN INDUSTRIAL LLC

972-733-6978

Tyler McElroy

17304 Preston ROAD Suite 550 Austin TX 75252

Billed To

DALFEN LAST MILE IV CO-INVESTME

512--

4444 W St. Catherine STREET Suite 100 Westmount QC H3Z 1R2

Consolidated Site Plan Application Administrative and Land Use Commission (C Plan)

PURPOSE: This application is for obtaining a consolidated site plan permit within the City of Austin jurisdiction (full-purpose and limited-purpose city limits). For the following information, please visit http://www.austintexas.gov/page/land-use-applications#site: See Consolidated Site Plan Overview and Review Procedures for site plan general information and review procedures; see Consolidated Site Plan Application Instructions for instructions on completing this application and submittal requirements.

This application is a fillable PDF that can be completed electronically. To ensure your information is saved, <u>click here to Save</u> the form to your computer, then open your copy and continue.

The Tab key may be used to navigate to each field; Shift + Tab moves to the previous field. The Enter key activates links, emails, and buttons. Use the Up & Down Arrow keys to scroll through drop-down lists and check boxes, and hit Enter to make a selection.

The application must be complete and accurate prior to submittal. *If more space is required, please complete the last section as needed*, and check the Additional Space box at the top or end of this application.

All information is required (if applicable).

For Office Use Only

Development Review Type:	
Application Accepted By:	
Application Type:	
Case Manager:	
Additional space was required	to complete this application. I have completed the Additional
Space section. (This check box	to complete this application. I have completed the Additional is also at end of the application.)
Additional space was required Space section. (This check box Section 1: Project Information	is also at end of the application.)

2401 Ferguson Ln, A	• ,				
Zip: 78710	1 de 10, de 10		L T E 18		
Description of Proposed D	evelopment:				
Proposing two indust	rial warehouse buildings g, grading, utilities, and	s for Dalfen Industrie drainage improveme	es with all ents.	associate	d paving,
Provide either Legal Desc	ription or Subdivision Re	eference:			
Legal Description:					
27.29 ACRES OUT	OF THE JOHN APPLE	EGATE SURVEY, N	O. 30 AB	S NO. 214	
O Subdivision Reference	 ce		- <u> </u>		W = 10
Name:					
Block(s):	Lot(s):				
Plat Book:		Page Number:			
Document Number:	2017163006	Case Number:			
Deed Reference of Deed C					
Volume: 102					
Page(s): 238	Sq. Ft.:		or Ac	res: 27.29	
Tax Parcel Number(s): 023	4210501			·	
Section 2: Applicar	it/Agent Informat	tion			
Applicant Name: Mahmou	d Sam Dehaybi, P.E.				
irm: Bowman Consulting					
Applicant Mailing Address:	1120 S Capital of Texas	s Hwy #220			
N.A					
mail: sdehaybi@bowmanco		13/15/			
Phone 2:		Phone 3:			

Section 3: Own	er Information		
☐ Same as Applicant	Owner Name: Tyler	McElroy	
Owner Signature:	Tyl 11/6 the		
Firm: Dalfen Industria	ilic		
Owner Mailing Addres	s: 17304 Preston Rd #550		
Other Total			Zip: 75252
Email:			
Phone 2:	Type 2: Select	Phone 3:	
Section 4: Engi	neer Information		
□ Not Applicable	Same as Applicant	Name:	
Firm:			
City:		State:	Zip:
Phone 2:	Type 2: Select	Phone 3:	
Not Applicable	• •	Type: Select an Option	
Firm:			
6 11			
1 to			with the contract of the contr
Email: Phone 2 [.]	Type 2: Select	Phone 1:	
. 110110 2.	Type 2. Select	Phone 3:	Type 3: Select
Section 6: Prope	erty Attributes		
Pre-Certification lett		lo (If Yes, submit a copy of sing and Community Developmed) Vater Protection Zone	
Watershed: Walnut Cre		Watershed Class: Suburban W	/atershed
n City of Austin Edward	is Aquifer Recharge Zone?		
and Development Juri	sdiction: Full-Purpose	Climited-Purpose	

Is your project subject to all current watershed protection regulations?
School District: Manor Independent School District
On a Hill Country Roadway?
Specify Hill Country Roadway:
Principal Street Type (Full-Purpose): O Core Transit Corridor Urban Roadway
 Internal Circulation Route Suburban Roadway Hill Country Roadway Highway
In a Neighborhood Plan? ☐ Yes ■ No
If Yes, name of Neighborhood Plan:
In a Transit-Oriented Development (TOD) District, the North Burnet/Gateway (NBG), the East
Riverside Corridor (ERC), or Other? Tyes No
If Yes, name of TOD, NBG, ERC, or Other:
Is a Vertical Mixed Use building proposed? Yes No
(See Consolidated Site Plan Application Instructions for important pre-submittal requirements.)
Electric Utility Provider: Austin Energy
Water Provider: Austin Water Utility
Wastewater Disposal Provider: Austin Water Utility
Section 7: Application Assessment
Large Retail Use, as defined in Sec. 25-2-813? ☐ Yes ■ No
Is a Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA) required? ☐ Yes ■ No (See Section 12: TIA Determination
Worksheet.)
Is this use Conditional within the site's zoning district? ☐ Yes ■ No
Has there been a Development Assessment? ☐ Yes ■ No File Number:
Small Project? ☐ Yes ■No
If residential, are there other Tax Credits or State/Federal funding? Yes No
Will all parking be located on site? Yes No (If No, an Off-Site/Shared Parking Application
and fees are required.)
Shared parking? Yes No (If Yes, an Off-Site/Shared Parking Application and fees are
required.)
Section 2 Six All
Section 8: Site Area Information
Gross Site Area: Acres 27.29 7 03.67 -OR- Sq. Ft.
Net Site Area: Acres

Is Demolition propose	ed? N/A If Yes, ho	w many res	idential units will be	e demolished?
Number of these r may be required a	residential units currently and a certified form may	occupied: be required	(If 5 o	r more, tenant notification on per LDC 25-1-712.)
Number of Newly Pro	posed Residential Units	(if applicabl	ie):	
EXISTING ZONING	EXISTING USE	TRACT#	ACRES / SQ FT	PROPOSED USE
LI-CO	UNDEVELOPED	3	27.29 /	Industrial/Warehouse
			/	
			/	
	cover (%): 1			/er (%): <u>56</u>
Are any underground	storage tanks existing o	r proposed?	□Yes ■No	
Section 9: Rela	ted Cases			
		FILE NUM	<u>MBERS</u>	
Zoning Case? Yes	s 🗆 No	C14-00-2	019	600 F 5 00 00 00 00
Restrictive Covenant?	Yes ■No			
Subdivision?	■No			
Land Status Report?	■Yes □No	C81-2017	-0239	
Existing Site Plan?	Yes ■No			
Section 10: Lan	ıd Use Site Plan Da	ata - as ap	plicable	
Subject to Compatibili	ty Standards? Yes	No		
In Combining District/0	Overlay Zone? (NCCD, 0	CVC, WO, A	O, etc.): Yes	No
	cify:			
	ding Program Rating?			Letter of Intent.)
Section 11: Wai	ver / Variance / Et	t c. - as app	olicable	
Compatibility Standa	ards Waiver - Section(s)			
	Section(s):			
☐ Hill Country - Sectio	on(s):			
Waterfront Overlay i	District - Section(s):			
	ction(s):			
	alysis Off-Site or			
Detention Pond Wai	iver	Landscape	Compliance	

Section 12: Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA) Determination Worksheet

Applicant must complete this worksheet.							
Project Name	: TUSCAN	Y PARK TRA	СТ 3				
Location: 24	401 FERGUS	SON LN					
Applicant: M	AHMOUD S	AM DEHAYBI	. P.E.	Tele	ephone No:	(512) 67	2-8974
		evelopment A	•		Site Pla	•	2 0074
EXISTING:	330			Lorning			SE ONLY
Tract Number	Tract Acres	Bldg. Sq. Ft.	Zoning	Land Use	I.T.E. Code	Trip Rat	SE ONLY Trips Per Day
3	27.29	0.00	LI-CO	UNDEV.		10.5	0
							10
	·-···		·				
PROPOSED:			Marion -		FOR O	FFICE U	SE ONLY
Tract Number	Tract Acres	Bldg. Sq. Ft.	Zoning	Land Use	I.T.E. Code	Trip Rat	THE RESERVE TO SHARE THE PARTY OF THE PARTY
3	27.29	70,200.00	LI-CO	OFFICE/WARE	150	FCE	
3	27.29	202,248.00	LI-CO	OFFICEWARE	150	FCE	365
ABUTTING R		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			FOR O	FFICE US	SE ONLY
	Street Name		Propo	sed Access?	Pavement V	Vidth	Classification
	Tuscany Way Ferguson Lan			Y			
	r ergusori Lari			1			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY							
A traffic impa	act analysis is rec	quired. The consult	ant preparing t	he study must mee	t with a Transpo	rtation plann	er to discuss the
		e sludy before beg	_	70			
A traffic impa	act analysis is N(justin I and Davel	DT required. The tr	affic generated	l by the proposal do	oes not exceed t	he threshold	ls established in
the City of Austin Land Development Code.							
COMP	liahll h	パナムナレー	this lin	nit and	Final	nmel	T1/1
The traffic impact analysis has been waived for the following reason: the sib, must demonstrate Compliance with the thip limit and final memol TIA approved with zoning ordinance 020228-30							
A neighborhood traffic analysis will be performed by the City for this project. The applicant may have to collect existing traffic							
counts. See a Transportation planner for information.							
Reviewed By: U2 Chul Date: 12-/6-/9							
Distribution:	Op 25-00 10	Metro TxDC			1000000	Total Cop	
NOTE: A TIA Determination must be made prior to submittal of any Zoning or Site Plan application, therefore, this completed and reviewed worksheet MUST ACCOMPANY any subsequent application for the IDENTICAL project. CHANGES to the proposed project will REQUIRE a new TIA Determination.							
project will REQ	UIRE a new TIA	Determination.	ециелт арриса	ilion for the IDENTI	CAL project, CH	ANGES to t	he proposed

Section 13: Submittal Verification

My signature attests to the fact that the attached application package is complete and accurate to the best of my knowledge. I understand that proper City staff review of this application is dependent upon the accuracy of the information provided and that any inaccurate or inadequate information provided by me/my firm/etc., may delay the proper review of this application.

Section 14: Inspection Authorization

As owner or authorized agent, my signature authorizes staff to visit and inspect the property for which this application is being submitted.

Please type or print Name below Signature, and indicate Firm represented, if applicable:

Signature

December 12 2019

Month Day Year

MAHMOUD SAM DEHAYBI, P.E.

Name (Typed or Printed)

BOWMAN CONSULTING GROUP

Firm

I, MAHMOUD SAM DEHAYBI, P.E. have checked for any information that may (Printed Name of Applicant) affect the review of this project, including but not limited to: subdivision plat notes, deed notes, deed restrictions, restrictive covenants, zoning conditional overlays, and/or Subchapter E design standards prohibiting certain uses and/or requiring certain development restrictions (height, access, screening, etc.) on this property, located at: (Address or Legal Description): 2401 FERGUSON LANE, AUSTIN, TEXAS If a conflict should result with the request I am submitting to the City of Austin due to any of the aforementioned information, it will be my responsibility to resolve it. I also acknowledge that I understand the implications of use and/or development restrictions that are a result of the aforementioned information. I understand that if requested I must provide copies of any and all of the aforementioned information that may apply to this property.

December

Month

Section 15: Acknowledgment Form

For Submittal Requirements and Exhibits

Please see Consolidated Site Plan Application Instructions at http://www.austintexas.gov/page/land-use-applications#site

Applicant's Signature

2019

Year

Day

Please use the space below to provide additional information as needed. To ensure the information is referenced to the proper item, include the Section and Field names as well. In addition, please check the Additional Space box below. Additional space was required to complete this application.

Section 16: Additional Space (if necessary)

Land Use Review Site Plan Completeness Check



Completeness Check Results Due: A completeness check application must be deemed complete before formal application can be submitted.

Completeness Check Results: Complete		45 Day Expiration date: 01/30/2020		
Tracking #: 12379780 Revision #: 00			Watershed: Wainut Creek	
Project Name: Tuscany Park Tract	: 3			
Ch.245 Team Review Req'd: No	eview Req'd: No Orig. Submittal		al Date: 12/16/2019 Resubmittal Date:	
Date Sent to Ch.245:				
Date Rec'd.back in LUR:	Current Results to Applicant: 1/24/2020			

Checked for Completeness by the			Complete/Incomplete
CC Drainage/WQ Review	Sona Singh	512-974-7632	COMPLETE
CC Site/Sub Plan Review	Jeremy Siltala	512 974-2945	COMPLETE
CC Environmental Review	Mike Mcdougal	512-974-6380	COMPLETE
CC ERM Review	Sona Singh	512-974-7632	COMPLETE
CC Flood Plain Review	Liz Johnston	512-974-2619	COMPLETE
CC Transportation Planning Review	Shamir Dorsey	512-974-6099	COMPLETE
CC Utility Coordination Review	Adam Fiss	512-974-1684	COMPLETE
CC Traffic Control Review	Sarah Doersam	512-974-6540	COMPLETE
CC City Arborist Review	Laura Rov	512-974-6012	COMPLETE
CC AW Review	Heather Berryman	512-974-1830	
CC RSMP Review	Bradley Barron	512-972-0078	COMPLETE

Case Manager: Robert Anderson	
Formal Review: Electric Review	Assigned Reviewer: Karen Palacios
Drainage Engineering Review City Arborist Review Environmental Review Fire For Site Plan Review Flood Plain Review Industrial Waste Review PARD / Planning & Design Review Planner 1 Review Site Plan Review AW Utility Development Services Water Quality Review Wetlands Biologist Review AW Pipeline Engineering Transportation Planning Review	Kena Pierce City Arborist Reviewer Mike McDougal Tom Migl Karl McArthur John McCulloch Scott Grantham Addison Ptomey Robert Anderson AWU-Utility Development Service Kena Pierce Staryn J Wagner AWU-Pipeline Engineering Adam Fiss
ATD Transportation Engineering	Sangeeta Jain

A formal application must be filed within 45 calendar days of the initial completeness check (by 01/30/2020) or the application will expire and a new completeness check application must be filed.

Applicants must pick up the completeness check packet at the Intake office within 72 hours of receiving a response. The City is not responsible for lost or stolen packets. **The applicant must schedule an appointment with the Intake office for formal application submittal**. Please call 974-1770 for more information.

Small Project: Yes/No

Fees: \$27,245.27 due at formal submittal.

Total # of Plans 19 / Engineering Reports 4 required at formal

The City of Austin encourages applicants to contact neighborhood organizations prior to formal submittal. For assistance identifying the neighborhood association(s) in the vicinity of your project, visit www.austintexas.gov/neighbor to contact a Neighborhood advisor.

This project will require an Electronic Submittal (flash drive) at time of formal submittal as described in Exhibit VII of the Consolidated Site Plan Application Instructions packet. Exhibit VII Worksheet also due at time of formal submittal.

Comments: (Please respond to each comment in letter form)

CC Drainage Engineering Review - Drainage Engineering Review -

Release of this application does not constitute a verification of all data, information, and calculations supplied by the applicant. The engineer of record is solely responsible for the completeness, accuracy, and adequacy of his/her submittal, whether or not the application is reviewed for code compliance by city engineers.

DE-1 - Please provide detention as request for-participation in RSMP has been denied.

CC ERM Review - ERM Review - Liz Johnston

Intake: Please charge a fee for WPD Env Review (Wetlands Biologist Site Plan)

CC Flood Plain Review - Katina Bohrer - 512-974-3558

As of 11/25/2019, the City of Austin regulates to Atlas 14 floodplains. Applicant is required to use the 500-year floodplain as a stand-in for the 100-year or calculate the location of the 100-year floodplain utilizing Atlas 14 rain fall amounts (as outlined in draft DCM guidance). Floodplain review comments are based rules in effect on Initial Submittal date. (ordinance number 20191114-064)
UPDATE 1: The City of Austin 500-year floodplain has not been delineated on the plan set. What is delineated is the City of Austin pre-atlas 14 100-year floodplain. A new floodplain study needs to be completed for the site which shows where the Atlas 14 floodplain is located. Easement must be provided to contain the Atlas 14 floodplain. Updated floodplain study must be provided for

review. Location of floodplain and associated easement will be determined

during formal review.

Project Review Form (PRF) – Statement of Applicable Codes This completed form must accompany all applications for site plans or subdivisions.

		Fo	r Office Use O	nly		
File #	Assigned:				Date Filed	d:
Origin	al Application Vesting Date	s: S	ignature:		Date	e:
	or's Determination of Veste				red O Deni	ed
	See Vested Rights De	termination (if applicable	e) for additional infor	mation.	1110000	
Propose	d Project Name: TUSCA	NY PARK TRACT 3				
Address	/Location: 2401 FERGUS	ON LN, AUSTIN, TEXAS	3			
Legal De	escription: 27.29 ACRES OU	T OF THE JOHN APPLEGA	TE SURVEY, NO. 30 A	BS NO. 214		
A.	The proposed application *** NOTE: If "A" is checke		_	-	ct.	
□ B.	The proposed application on a claim of vested right the Texas Local Government	hts (i.e., "grandfathering	") to earlier City of Au	ustin regulations	under Chapter 245	
	Original Application Filing	Date:	File #:		Type:	
□ C.	*** NOTE: If "B" is checke and attach a Vested Right The proposed application on a City of Austin ordin	ts Petition that provides is for a project request	additional information	n and more fully egulations othe	describes the basis r than those curre	of for the claim.
including	*** NOTE: If "C" is checke rights are claimed. Into to providing the information to project history from the O and any subsequent permits.	od above, the applicant reformation Requition below, attach a cominginal Application to the	nust attach a copy of ired for Vested pleted Vested Rights present, with a copy	f the City of Aust ed Rights R	in ordinance or agre Review and supporting doc	eement for which
Projec	t Application History		File #		Application Date	Approval Date
Annexa	ation/Zoning Cases	<u> </u>				
—	nary Subdivision					
	ubdivision Plat					
	an/Development Permit					
Propose	d Project Application (selec	ct one): OPreliminary	Subdivision (Final Plat	Site Plan	O Building Permit
•	d Project Land Use Acreag	1,220		74	874g	
	gle-Family/Duplex:	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Condo/Multifamily:	_	•	
_	nmercial:					1
	reage: 27.29				Class: Suburban	Watershed
such as	pposed project application those to prevent imminent by erosion and sedimentations.	destruction of property of	or injury to person, in	cluding regulation	n dealing with storr	
Prop	perty Owner/Agent Printed	Name: MAHMOUD SA	M DEHAYBI, P.E.		Phone	(512) 327-1180
Sigr	nature:	my		Date: 12/12	2/2019	SAVE Form



December 12, 2019

City of Austin
Development Services Department
One Texas Center
505 Barton Springs Road
Austin, Texas 78704

Re: Engineer's Summary Letter

Tuscany Park Tract 3

2401 Ferguson Ln, Austin, Texas

Please accept this Engineer's Summary Letter and report along with the accompanying Site Plan application submittal package for the proposed Tuscany Park Industrial office and warehouse project. The proposed project is located at 2401 Ferguson Lane in Austin, Texas. The site is currently undeveloped and is approximately 27.29 acres. The proposed project will consist of constructing one 202,248 square foot industrial use building on the southern half of the site and one 70,200 square foot industrial use building on the northern half of the site. The project includes necessary site improvements for access, utilities, parking, environmental protection, and drainage facilities.

The site is located within the Walnut Creek Watershed, which is classified as a Suburban Watershed, inside City limits. No portion of the subject site is located within the Edwards Aquifer Recharge or Contributing Zone. Additionally, no portion of the tract is located within the 100-year FEMA floodplain as shown on FEMA Map Panel No. 48453C0460K, dated January 06, 2016.

Austin Water Utility is the water and wastewater service provider. Existing water and wastewater services have been extended to the site per the approved SER and are proposed to be connected to this development.

The best of our knowledge, the enclosed application materials are complete, and in full compliance with Land development Code and Technical Criteria Manuals of the City of Austin. If possible, we would like to elect Robert Anderson to be the case manager, Mike McDougal for Environmental Review, and Ivan Naranjo for Transportation as they are familiar with this site during the pre-application stage. Should you have any questions regarding this project or application, please do not hesitate to contact our office.

Mahmoud Sam Dehaybi P.E. Bowman Consulting Group

1120 S. Capital of Texas Hwy, Building 3, Suite 220, Austin, Texas 78746 p: 512.327.1180 | f: 512.327.4062

www.bowmanconsulting.com

TBPE Firm No. F-14309

TAX CERTIFICATE TAX CERTIFICATE Bruce Elfant Travis County Tax Assessor-Collector P.O. Box 1748 Austin, Texas 78767 (512) 854-9473

ACCOUNT NUMBER: 02-3421-0501-0000

PROPERTY OWNER:

PROPERTY DESCRIPTION:

TUSCANY PARK LLC PO BOX 170158 AUSTIN, TX 78717-0010

ABS 29 SUR 58 APPLEGATE J ACR 81.4

ACRES

81.4330 MIN% .00000000000 TYPE

SITUS INFORMATION:

FERGUSON

This is to certify that after a careful check of tax records of this office, the following taxes, delinquent taxes, penalties and interests are due on the described property of the following tax unit(s):

ENTITY YEAR YEAR ENTITY
2019 CITY OF AUSTIN (TRAV)
TRAVIS COUNTY
TRAVIS CENTRAL HEALTH
MANOR ISD
ACC (TRAVIS)

TOTAL SEQUENCE

LN

25,462.73 21,221.41 6,066.75 84,473.52 6,028.08

TOTAL

143,252.49

TOTAL TAX: UNPAID FEES: INTEREST ON FEES: COMMISSION: TOTAL DUE ==>

143,252.49 NONE * * * NONE

> NONE 143,252.49

ALL TAXES PAID IN FULL PRIOR TO AND INCLUDING THE YEAR 2019 EXCEPT FOR UNPAID YEARS LISTED ABOVE. The above described property may be subject to special valuation based on its use, and additional rollback taxes may become due. (Section 23.55, State Property Tax Code). Pursuant to Section 31.08 of the State Property Tax Code, there is a fee of \$10.00 for all Tax Certificates.

GIVEN UNDER MY HAND AND SEAL OF OFFICE ON THIS DATE OF 11/05/2019

Fee Paid: \$10.00

Bruce Elfant Tax Assessor-Collector

1





SITE LOCATION MAP TUSCANY PARK TRACT 3



One Texas Center | 505 Barton Springs Road, Austin, Texas 78704 | Phone: 512.978.4000

City Arborist Review

Addendum for Subdivision and Site Plan Submittals

For Office Use Only

File Number:		
Application Accepted By:	File Number:	Date Issued:
Application type: Single Family Subdivision Commercial Subdivision/Site Plan Project Name: Tuscany Park Tract 3 Project Street Address: 2401 Ferguson Lane Section 2: City Arborist Review Has there been an onsite consultation with a City Arborist? Yes No (If yes, please attach copies of all consultation correspondence and documents.) Consultation – Tree Permit Number: For single-family subdivision applications in the full- and limited-purpose jurisdictions: Number of trees with a diameter of 19 in. or greater located within the LOC: Number of trees with a diameter of 19 in. or greater: Total number of trees with a diameter of 19 in. or greater: Number of trees with a diameter of 19 in. or greater: Number of trees with a diameter of 19 in. or greater: Number of trees with a diameter of 19 in. or greater: Number of trees with a diameter of 19 in. or greater: Number of trees with a diameter of 19 in. or greater: Number of trees with a diameter of 19 in. or greater: Number of trees with a diameter of 19 in. or greater located within the LOC: Number of trees with a diameter of 19 in. or greater located within the LOC: Number of trees with a diameter of 8 in. or greater located within the LOC: Number of trees with a diameter of 8 in. or greater located within the LOC:	Application Accepted By:	Date:
Project Name: Tuscany Park Tract 3 Project Street Address: 2401 Ferguson Lane Section 2: City Arborist Review Has there been an onsite consultation with a City Arborist? Yes No (If yes, please attach copies of all consultation correspondence and documents.) Consultation – Tree Permit Number: For single-family subdivision applications in the full- and limited-purpose jurisdictions: Number of trees with a diameter of 19 in. or greater located within the LOC: Number of trees with a diameter of 19 in. or greater: Total number of trees with a diameter of 19 in. or greater: Total number of trees with a diameter of 19 in. or greater: Number of trees with a diameter of 19 in. or greater: Number of trees with a diameter of 19 in. or greater: Number of trees with a diameter of 19 in. or greater: Number of trees with a diameter of 8 in. or greater located within the LOC: Number of trees with a diameter of 8 in. or greater located within the LOC:	Section 1: Project Information	
Section 2: City Arborist Review Has there been an onsite consultation with a City Arborist? Yes No (If yes, please attach copies of all consultation correspondence and documents.) Consultation – Tree Permit Number: For single-family subdivision applications in the full- and limited-purpose jurisdictions: Number of trees with a diameter of 19 in. or greater located within the LOC: Number of trees with a diameter of 19 in. or greater located immediately adjacent to the LOC: Total number of trees with a diameter of 19 in. or greater: Total number of trees with a diameter of 19 in. or greater: Number of trees with a diameter of 19 in. or greater: Number of trees with a diameter of 19 in. or greater: Number of trees with a diameter of 8 in. or greater located within the LOC: 197	Application type: Single Family Subdivision	n Commercial Subdivision/Site Plan
Section 2: City Arborist Review Has there been an onsite consultation with a City Arborist? Yes No (If yes, please attach copies of all consultation correspondence and documents.) Consultation – Tree Permit Number: For single-family subdivision applications in the full- and limited-purpose jurisdictions: Number of trees with a diameter of 19 in. or greater located within the LOC: Number of trees with a diameter of 19 in. or greater located immediately adjacent to the LOC: Total number of trees with a diameter of 19 in. or greater: Total number of trees with a diameter of 19 in. or greater: Number of trees with a diameter of 19 in. or greater: Number of trees with a diameter of 19 in. or greater: Number of trees with a diameter of 8 in. or greater located within the LOC: 197	Project Name: Tuscany Park Tract 3	
Section 2: City Arborist Review Has there been an onsite consultation with a City Arborist?	Project Street Address: 2401 Ferguson Lane	
Consultation – Tree Permit Number: For single-family subdivision applications in the full- and limited-purpose jurisdictions: Number of trees with a diameter of 19 in. or greater located within the LOC: Number of trees with a diameter of 19 in. or greater located immediately adjacent to the LOC: Total number of trees with a diameter of 19 in. or greater: Torcommercial subdivision and site-plan applications in the full- and limited-purpose jurisdictions: Number of trees with a diameter of 8 in. or greater located within the LOC: 197		orist? □ Yes ■ No
Consultation – Tree Permit Number: For single-family subdivision applications in the full- and limited-purpose jurisdictions: Number of trees with a diameter of 19 in. or greater located within the LOC: Number of trees with a diameter of 19 in. or greater located immediately adjacent to the LOC: Total number of trees with a diameter of 19 in. or greater: Torcommercial subdivision and site-plan applications in the full- and limited-purpose jurisdictions: Number of trees with a diameter of 8 in. or greater located within the LOC: 197	(If yes, please attach copies of all consultation corres	pondence and documents.)
For single-family subdivision applications in the full- and limited-purpose jurisdictions: Number of trees with a diameter of 19 in. or greater located within the LOC: Number of trees with a diameter of 19 in. or greater located immediately adjacent to the LOC: Total number of trees with a diameter of 19 in. or greater: O For commercial subdivision and site-plan applications in the full- and limited-purpose jurisdictions: Number of trees with a diameter of 8 in. or greater located within the LOC: 197		
 Number of trees with a diameter of 19 in. or greater located immediately adjacent to the LOC: Total number of trees with a diameter of 19 in. or greater: For commercial subdivision and site-plan applications in the full- and limited-purpose jurisdictions: Number of trees with a diameter of 8 in. or greater located within the LOC: 	For single-family subdivision applications in the f	ull- and limited-purpose jurisdictions:
 Number of trees with a diameter of 19 in. or greater located immediately adjacent to the LOC: Total number of trees with a diameter of 19 in. or greater: For commercial subdivision and site-plan applications in the full- and limited-purpose jurisdictions: Number of trees with a diameter of 8 in. or greater located within the LOC: 	 Number of trees with a diameter of 19 in. or greate 	er located within the LOC:
 Total number of trees with a diameter of 19 in. or greater: Total number of trees with a diameter of 19 in. or greater: Total number of trees with a diameter of 19 in. or greater located within the LOC: 197 	• Number of trees with a diameter of 19 in. or greater	er located immediately adjacent to the LOC:
For commercial subdivision and site-plan applications in the full- and limited-purpose jurisdictions: Number of trees with a diameter of 8 in. or greater located within the LOC:	• Total number of trees with a diameter of 19 in. or g	greater:0
 Number of trees with a diameter of 8 in. or greater located within the LOC:		
		
	• Number of trees with a diameter of 8 in. or greater	located within the LOC: 197
5 - Land to dated milliodiatory adjacent to the EGG.		
Total number of trees with a diameter of 8 in. or greater:		·



RSMP Participation – Feasibility Meeting Request Form

(Instructions on next page)

	Primary Contact*	Alternate Contact			
Applicant Name:*	Sam Dehaybi	Nicholas Kehl			
Phone:	(512) 672-8974	(512) 672-8979			
Email Address:*	sdehaybi@bowmanconsulting.com	nkehl@bowmanconsulting.com			
Applicant Company Name:*	Bowman Consulting	Bowman Consulting			
Company Mailing Address:*	1120 S Capital of Texas Hwy	Building 3, Ste 220			
C8 or SP Case Number (if any):	SP-2019-0575C				
Drainage Reviewer (if assigned):	Sona Singh				
Project Name:*	Tuscany Park Tract 3				
Appraisal District:*	Travis CAD				
Appraisal District Parcel ID:*	0234210501				
Land Appraisal Value:*	N/A				
Property Address:*	2401 Ferguson Ln				
Type of project (select one):*	commercial/multi-family residentia	al () single family residential (
Watershed:*	Walnut Creek	<u> </u>			
Jurisdiction:*	Austin Full Purpose				
COA Map Grid:*	M28/N28				
Zoning Designation:*	LI-CO				
Total Property/Project Area (acres):* 27.29				
Existing Impervious Cover (acres):	** 0.00				
Existing Impervious Cover (percen	tage of property):** 0.00%	<u> </u>			
Proposed Impervious Cover (acres):** 14.87				
Proposed Impervious Cover (perce	entage of property):** 54.00%				
Other relevant/helpful project info					
		1			

^{*} Field is required;

Version 1.2

^{**} Provide (at a minimum) either acres or percentage of existing and proposed impervious cover.

RSMP Participation – Feasibility Meeting Request Form Instructions

Submit this form via email to RSMP@austintexas.gov. Please include project identifying information in the subject line. You may also drop this form off at the Watershed Protection Department, 505 Barton Springs Road, 12th floor, Austin, TX 78704 during regular business hours. Once we have reviewed this this form, we will contact you to schedule a RSMP Feasibility Meeting.

You can use online tools such as Property Profile, Zoning Profile Report, and "Find My Drainage Charge" to complete this form as needed. If a site has more than one TCAD ID or address, please provide all relevant items. The 10-digit ID from TCAD should be provided rather than the 6-digit property ID.

All watersheds in the City of Austin participate in the RSMP. However, some watersheds drain to other jurisdictions or otherwise require coordination from another jurisdiction. You can use the applicable calculator on the website: austintexas.gov/rsmp to obtain an estimate of the participation payment that would be required if the project is approved for participation via payment in lieu of detention. City staff will determine the final payment amount if the project is approved for participation in RSMP.

If you have questions, please send us an email at RSMP@austintexas.gov. Please make sure to include project identifying information in any email sent – this will ensure a helpful and speedy reply to your question(s). The following is a brief description of what you can expect from the RSMP process.

Step 1: Complete the RSMP Feasibility Meeting Request Form

- (a) If your project already has a COA Case Number and drainage reviewer, please include that information on the form.
- (b) If your project does not yet have a COA Case Number and/or drainage reviewer, please make a note on the form and include as much of the information as possible and any other descriptions or information that could be helpful for determining participation.
- **Step 2**: Send completed RSMP Feasibility Meeting Form to RSMP@austintexas.gov and copy your assigned drainage reviewer (if applicable). Please also include anyone that you would like included on the meeting invite.
- **Step 3**: You will receive a meeting invite from the RSMP Team. We will contact you with any further questions or clarifications needed before the meeting.
- **Step 4:** Prepare for and attend feasibility meeting. There are documents available for download on the website that explain basic drainage analysis requirements depending on whether the site drainage discharges directly into a stream or creek, into existing drainage system, or if in-kind improvements are being constructed.

Typical agenda includes introductions, overview of RSMP participation options, an overview/description/explanation of your project (by applicant - you), and a discussion of possibilities for participation for your particular site and project. The goal of the meeting is to come to an agreement on whether the project will participate in RSMP, and if so, what is required for participation (analysis, payment, in-kind improvements, etc.).

Step 5: Decide whether to proceed with RSMP participation for the project

- (a) Complete formal application and send to RSMP@austintexas.gov with all supporting analysis and documentation as discussed in the Feasibility Meeting. If a follow up meeting is needed to clarify any requirements, please request one by emailing RSMP@austintexas.gov.
- (b) If the project will not participate, please send an email to RSMP@austintexas.gov.



One Texas Center | 505 Barton Springs Road, Austin, Texas 78704 | Phone 512 978 4000

Owners' Authorization Letter For delegating requests and applications

I/we hereby certify that I/we am/are the owner(s) of the above described property. I/we am/are respectfully requesting processing and approval of the above referenced permit(s) review. I/we hereby authorize the Applicant listed on this application to act on my/our behalf during the processing and presentation of this request. They shall be the principal contact with the City in processing this application.

First Owner's Signature Date 12/13/2019 First Owner's printed name R. Tyler McElroy Second Owner's Signature Date 12/13/2019 Second Owner's printed name Sean Wood

Section 1: Signatures



January 14, 2020

City of Austin
Development Services Department

RE: Tuscany Park Tract 3 – Tracking # 12379780

Land Use Review

Site Plan Completeness Check

This letter is submitted in response to your review comments dated 1/2/2020, for the above-referenced project.

RSMP COMMENTS

Page 2 of the engineering and drainage report states that "...storm water detention will not be required since proposed improvements will not impact the point of analysis leaving the site due to the large drainage area and a longer time to peak."

This site is 13.28 acres, is currently undeveloped, and has a proposed impervious cover of 80%. The site is located along the watershed divide between Walnut Creek and Little Walnut Creek and as such is at the top of the drainage area. If this site is not providing on-site detention, RSMP participation should be requested and a feasibility meeting held prior to formal submittal.

This comment does not guarantee that participation in the RSMP is possible. At the very least, calculations proving the statement from the engineering and drainage report must be provided.

Refer to zoning determination C14-00-2019 provided with CC package. States that "any increase in stormwater runoff will be mitigated through on site detention ponds or participation in the City of Austin Regional Stormwater Management Program, if available."

Response: HEC HMS Drainage Model will be submitted for formal review. RSMP Meeting has been requested. Thank you.

CC Drainage Engineering Review - Drainage Engineering Review

DE 1 – Please provide calculations for the composite curve numbers that were used to determine the flows for existing and proposed conditions.

Response: Calculations for composite curve numbers have been added to the plans, reference sheet 24 for calculations.



DE 2 – It appears that flows are increasing from existing to proposed conditions based on the drainage calculations that were provided on Sheets 14 and 15. Because flows are increasing, detention will be required per DCM 1.2.2 or a request for RSMP participation should be submitted.

Response: According to the drainage model, peak flows leaving the site are not increased as a result of the development, therefore detention is not required. Reference calculations on sheet 26 showing pre-development and post-development flow rates. RSMP has also been requested.

DE 3 – The drainage area maps that are provided appear to be floodplain maps. Please provide a site specific drainage area map for both existing and proposed conditions. Please show offsite flow, and use fully developed conditions when calculating offsite flow in proposed conditions.

Response: Site specific drainage area maps have been added. Please see sheets 25 and 27.

DE FYI – The site is in the Erosion Hazard Zone according to Property Profile. An erosion zone analysis will be required for formal review per Appendix E of the DCM.

Response: The COA Erosion Hazard Zone has been added and labeled on the plans.

DE FYI - Please provide an electronic drainage model via USB thumb drive for formal review.

Response: HEC HMS Drainage Model will be submitted for formal review. Thank you.

CC Site/Sub Plan Review - Site Plan Review

FYI: Revise sheet 4 with correct land status determination- C8i-2017-0239.

Response: Sheet 4 has been revised to include the correct Land Status Determination.

FYI: include site data table showing total site area, zoning and proposed uses, building coverage, building height, and FAR.

Response: Site data tables, including the information noted above, have been added to sheet 8. Thank you.

CC Environmental Review - Environmental Review

EV – FYI – The plan set coversheet note regarding Q1 and Q2 tables is not entirely correct. An ECM Appendix Q2 table based on gross site area is required in the suburban watershed classification. Provide an ECM Appendix Q2 gross site area impervious cover table in the plan set, found here:

https://library.municode.com/tx/austin/codes/environmental_criteria_manual?nodeId=APXQ-2IMCO

[LDC 25-8-392, ECM Appendix Q2]

Response: An ECM Appendix Q2 table based on gross site area has been added to the cover sheet. Thank you.

CC Water Quality Review – Water Quality Review

WQ 1 - Per ECM 1.6.1.B, off-line SCMs are required to have a diversion structure or splitter box which will capture the water quality volume. Please provide one to capture the runoff in excess of water quality volume

Response: Splitter box structures have been added to both water quality ponds. Thank you.

CC ERM Review - ERM Review

INTAKE: Please charge for: WPD - SitePlan EnvReview (Wetland Bio)

Response: Comment noted, thank you.

ERM: Information provided in application does not meet the criteria described in LDC 25-8-121 to 125 and ECM 1.3.0. (ECM 1.3.1 provides the required form). Please provide an ERI in the correct format.

Response: The ERI has been formatted accordingly. Thank you.

CC Flood Plain Review - Shamir Dorsey - 512-974-6099

As of 11/25/2019, the City of Austin regulates to Atlas 14 floodplains. Applicant is required to use the 500-year floodplain as a stand-in for the 100-year or calculate the location of the 100-year floodplain utilizing Atlas 14 rain fall amounts (as outlined in draft DCM guidance). Floodplain review comments are based rules in effect on Initial Submittal date. (ordinance number 20191114-064)

Response: The City of Austin 500-year floodplain has been delineated on the plans.

It appears that the creek on or adjacent to your site has more than 64 acres of contributory drainage area. Per LDC 25-7-33 and DCM 1.2.6, applicant must determine location of floodplains on property or prove that creek has less than 64 acres of contributory drainage area.

Response: The City of Austin 500-year floodplain has been delineated on the plans.

Show existing and proposed easements per LDC 25-7-33& LDC 25-7-152.

Response: Existing easements are shown per the Title Survey. Proposed Utility and Drainage Easements are shown on the plans.

Delineate the COA and FEMA regulatory floodplains per LDC 25-7-33.

Response: The City of Austin 500-year floodplain has been delineated on the plans. There is no FEMA regulated floodplain on the site per FEMA Map #48453C0460K.

FYI: The current submittal shows alteration of the FEMA floodplain. Modeling and back-up data must be submitted for review and concurrence that this alteration does not adversely impact other properties. A LOMR through FEMA will be required to remove/alter the FEMA FIRM maps.



Depending on results of the modeling, a CLOMR through FEMA may be required. See DCM 1.2.6 and LDC 25-7-33

Response: There is no FEMA regulated floodplain on the site per FEMA Map #48453C0460K.

FYI: The following will be needed prior to approval of the plan and will be requested if not provided at formal submittal:

 Confirmation statement that the best available data was used to delineate the COA floodplain (DCM 1.2.6)

Response: Statement has been added to the cover sheet. Thank you.

o (if utilizing COA/FEMA models) Signed and sealed statement certifying the accuracy of the models for the site (LDC 25-7-61)

Response: Statement has been added to the cover sheet. Thank you.

o (if altering or creating a new model) Digital copy of the floodplain model and all associated backup data within a signed & sealed report (LDC 25-7-33 & 61; DCM 1.2.6

Response: Alterations are not currently proposed for the City's current floodplain model. We understand a new model and report will be required if alterations are necessary.

• NOTE: if the information is contained within the Drainage & Engineering report, a 4th copy needs to be provided for Floodplain Reviewer

Response: Comment noted, thank you.

CC Traffic Control Review - Traffic Control Review

TRAFFIC CONTROL PLAN NOTE:

This note is being placed on the plan set in the absence of a temporary traffic control plan (TCP) with the full understanding that an engineered TCP shall be reviewed and approved by the Right of Way Management Division. Furthermore, a TCP shall be **submitted** to TCPReview@austintexas.gov for review a minimum of 6 weeks prior to the start of construction. The applicant/project representative further recognizes that a TCP review fee is required for the initial review and all re-reviews, as prescribed by the most current version of the City's fee ordinance.

The following must be taken into consideration:

- Refer to the "Mobility Guidelines" for developing traffic control strategies http://www.austintexas.gov/page/mobility-guidelines
- TCPs shall not be approved without an approved site plan, site plan exemption, or general permit
- A traffic control plan is not a permit



Response: Comments noted, thank you.

CC City Arborist Review - Heather Berryman - <u>heather.berryman@austintexas.gov</u>

CA1. Include Arborist Addendum.

Response: Arborist Addendum will be included. Thank you.

CC AW Review - AWU - Utility Development Service - 512-972-0000

WW1. Inclusion of current version of the Austin Water General Information and Construction Notes for Commercial Sites and Subdivision Plans sheet for stamps, latest Standard Austin Water Construction Notes, Service Extension Request documentation, meters, fire flow tests etc. All boxes from this sheet must be included for submittal. With the exception of providing the required information, do not remove, revise or reduce any text, Title Block, or tables on this sheet without prior authorization from Austin Water Pipeline Engineering. For current version of required AW General Info Sheet and other documents, please visit http://austintexas.gov/page/pipeline-engineering

Response: AWU General Information Sheet and Construction Notes have been updated. Reference sheets 3. Thank you.

Thank you,

Sam Dehaybi, P.E. Bowman Consulting Group



Case No.:					
(City use only)	Notice of	1024	48000	VISCOUT.	CERTIFICATION OF THE PERSON OF
10.15		nersed prints		-	

Environmental Resource Inventory

For the City of Austin
Related to LDC 25-8-121, City Code 30-5-121, ECM 1.3.0 & 1.10.0

The ERI is required for projects that meet one or more of the criteria listed in LDC 25-8-121(A), City Code 30-5-121(A). 1. SITE/PROJECT NAME: Tuscany Park Tract 3 2. COUNTY APPRAISAL DISTRICT PROPERTY ID (#'s): 236591 3. ADDRESS/LOCATION OF PROJECT: Southwest Intersection of Ferguson Ln and Tuscany Way Walnut Creek--Colorado River 4. WATERSHED: 5. THIS SITE IS WITHIN THE (Check all that apply) Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone* (See note below) TYES INO Edwards Aquifer Contributing Zone*..... □YES □No Note: If the property is over the Edwards Aquifer Recharge zone, the Hydrogeologic Report and karst surveys must be completed and signed by a Professional Geoscientist Licensed in the State of Texas. 6. DOES THIS PROJECT PROPOSE FLOODPLAIN MODIFICATION?......□YES** ☑NO If yes, then check all that apply: (1) The floodplain modifications proposed are necessary to protect the public health and safety; (2) The floodplain modifications proposed would provide a significant, demonstrable environmental benefit, as determined by a functional assessment of floodplain health as prescribed by the Environmental Criteria Manual (ECM), or (3) The floodplain modifications proposed are necessary for development allowed in the critical water quality zone under LDC 25-8-261 or 25-8-262, City Code 30-5-261 or 30-5-262. (4) The floodplain modifications proposed are outside of the Critical Water Quality Zone in an area determined to be in poor or fair condition by a functional assessment of floodplain health. ** If yes, then a functional assessment must be completed and attached to the ERI (see ECM 1.7 and Appendix X for forms and guidance) unless conditions 1 or 3 above apply. 7. IF THE SITE IS WITHIN AN URBAN OR SUBURBAN WATERSHED, DOES THIS PROJECT PROPOSE A UTILITY LINE PARALLEL TO AND WITHIN THE CRITICAL WATER QUALITY ZONE?□YES*** ☑NO ***If yes, then riparian restoration is required by LDC 25-8-261(E) or City Code 30-5-261(E) and a functional assessment must be completed and attached to the ERI (see ECM1.5 and Appendix X for forms and guidance). __(#'s) Critical Environmental Feature(s)(CEFs) on or within150 feet of 8. There is a total of the project site. If CEF(s) are present, attach a detailed DESCRIPTION of the CEF(s), color PHOTOGRAPHS, the CEF WORKSHEET and provide DESCRIPTIONS of the proposed CEF buffer(s) and/or wetland mitigation. Provide the number of each type of CEFs on or within 150 feet of the site (Please provide the number of CEFs):

	(#'s) Spring((#'s) Canyo		(#'s) Poir		eatur	e(s)	(#'s) BI	uff(s)	
adm requ	inistrative var lest. <u>Request</u>	riance from L forms for a	s are 150 feet, indard buffer is posterior (5) (25-8-281(C)) (5) (5) (6) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7	l) and provided,) iances from	you n	nust pr	ovide a writt	en request for	r an
9. The	following sit	e maps are	attached at the	end of this re	eport	(Check	all that apply	and provide):	
	<u>All EF</u> 2 2 2 2	Site Soil I Critical E	ific Geologic I erial Photo of	the Site Features a				ip on curre	nt
	Only i	Edwards (Only if site Edwards (Water Quare Critical Water of Autority of Autority (Control of	site (Maps can be Aquifer Rechause is over or within Aquifer Contribition Transition ater Quality Zonstin Fully Devocres of draina	rge Zone wi 1500 feet the re buting Zone Done (WQT) reloped Floo	echarg : TZ)	je zone)			th
10. HYD spec	ROGEOLOC ific geology b	SIC REPOR	RT – Provide a additional sheets	description	of s	site so	ils, topogra	phy, and sit	te
	Surface Soil Hydrologic S soil unit on th	oli Groups".	pject site is sum If there is more map.	marized in the than one so	ne tal pil uni	ble bel it on th	ow and use: e project sit	s the SCS e, show each	h
	S	oil Series Uni Characteris	t Names, Infiltrati	on		*Soi Defi	il Hydrologic nitions <i>(Abb</i>	Groups reviated)]

Soil Series Unit Nar Characteristics 8	nes, Infiltrat Thickness	ion
Soil Series Unit Name & Subgroup**	Group*	Thickness (feet)
Houston Black soils and Urban Land, 0-8%	D	0 to 80 in
siopes		
Austin- Urban Land Complex, 2-5% slopes	С	0 to 57 in

- A. Soils having a <u>high infiltration</u> rate when thoroughly wetted.
- B. Soils having a <u>moderate</u> <u>infiltration</u> rate when thoroughly wetted.
- C. Soils having a <u>slow infiltration</u> rate when thoroughly wetted.
- D. Soils having a <u>very slow</u> <u>infiltration</u> rate when thoroughly wetted.

**Subgroup Classification – See <u>Classification of Soil Series</u> Table in County Soil Survey.

Description of Site Topography	and Drainage (Attach additional she	ets if needed):
The site is relatively flat with a slight	gradient from 600 ft to 574 ft MSL. D	Trainage on the Site conver
List surface geologic units belo	ow:	
G	eologic Units Exposed at Surface	
Group	Formation	Member
Taylor Group	Ozan Formation	
Brief description of site geolog	y (Attach additional sheets if needed):	
amount of silt size quarts and calcite	ower Taylor Marl", Clay, Marly, Calca conite, phoshate pellets, hematite and fragments, become more abundant u vn; weathers light gray to grayish orar ft.	pyrite nodules, variable
62 = 31		
Wells – Identify all recorded and เ unplugged, capped and/or abando	nrecorded wells on site (test holes ned wells, etc.):	s, monitoring, water, oil,
	the project site and the locations a	
(#'s) I he wells are not	in use and have been properly at	
	in use and will be properly aband	
	use and comply with 16 TAC Chap f-site and within 150 feet of this sit	

11. THE VEGETATION REPORT – Provide the information requested below: Brief description of site plant communities (Attach additional sheets if needed): Open grassland, with a riparian drainage feature bisecting (see supplemental report for details) There is woodland community on site YES NO (Check one). If yes, list the dominant species below: **Woodland species** Common Name Scientific Name Honey Mesquite Prospopis glandulosa Soapberry Sapindus drummondii Hackberry Celtis laevigata Cedar Elm Ulmus crassifolia If yes, list the dominant species below: Grassland/prairie/savanna species Common Name Scientific Name Iohnson Grass Sorghum halepens Barnyard Grass Echinochloa crusgalli Bull Nettle Cnidoscolus texanus Croton Croton spp.

WPD ERM ERI-2014-01 Page 4 of 6

If yes, list the dominant species in table below (next page):

	Нус	rophytic plant species	
Cor	nmon Name	Scientific Name	Wetland Indicator Status
В	lack Willow	Salix nigra	OBL
Wa	ter Primrose	Ludwigia octovalis	OBL
 			
■YE	ES NO (Check one).	ade level has been completed on t Provide the information requested	
Wast	tewater for the site wi	ll be treated by (Check of that Apply):	
	On-site system(s)	3.4	
	City of Austin Cent	tralized sewage collection system	
	Other Centralized	collection system	
Note: City C	All sites that receive wate ode Chapter 15-12 and w	r or wastewater service from the Austin W ells must be registered with the City of Au	Vater Utility must comply with ustin
all St	site sewage collection ate, County and City \square NO (Check one).	n system is designed and will be co standard specifications.	onstructed to in accordance to
the e	ulations of the size on the size on the size of this report or should be size of the size	f the drainfield or wastewater irriconomn on the site plan. Slicable (Check one).	gation area(s) are attached a
Wast □YE	ewater lines are prop S 🖪 NO (Check one).	osed within the Critical Water Qua If yes, then provide justification be	ality Zone? low:

WPD ERM ERI-2014-01 Page 5 of 6

	ls the project si □YES ■ NO	ite is over the Edwards Ad (Check one).	quifer?		
	If yes, then de- level and effect	scribe the wastewater dis s on receiving watercours	posal s	systems proposed for the site, its treati the Edwards Aquifer.	nent
7					
13. One	e (1) hard copy vided.	and one (1) electronic	сору (of the completed assessment have t	oeen
Date(s)	ERI Field Asse	essment was performed:	Site Vis	it Sept 11, 2019	
		_		Date(s)	
My sign reflect a	ature certifies Ill information re	that to the best of my kneequested.	owled	ge, the responses on this form accura	ately
Sarah We	eber			(737) 213-8557	
Print Na				Telephone	_
Sarah	Weber	Digitally signed by Sarah Weber Date: 2019.09.17 14:59:32 -05'00'		sweber@bowmanconsulting.com	
Signatu	ire			Email Address	_
Bowman	Consulting Group	р		September 17, 2019	
Name c	of Company			Date	

For project sites within the Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone, my signature and seal also certifies that I am a licensed Professional Geoscientist in the State of Texas as defined by ECM 1.12.3(A).

PROJECT SITE IS NOT LOCATED WITHIN THE RECHARGE ZONE

WPD ERM ERI-2014-01

City of Austin Environmental Resource Inventory - Critical Environmental Feature Worksheet

The state of the s	B. 11000 C. 1000 C. 10				
sweber@bowmancensulting.cem	Fmail Address:	80	rce Inventory Date: September 17, 2019	Environmental Resou	4
Sarah Weber	Prepared By:	7	Site Visit Date: September 11, 2019		w
737 21318557	Phone Number: 79	6	Project Address: 2401 Ferguson Ln, Austin, TX		2
ct Name: Sarah Weber	Primary Contact Name:	UI	Project Name: Tusoany Park, Tract 3		
					_

		11		N.			Г		9
									+EATURE 179E (Wetland,Rimrock, Bluffs,Recharge Feature,Spring)
			1000			The same			FEATURE ID (cg S-1)
			12 12 140 W	TO BENEFIT HERE					(WGS 1984 in Meters)
		100			N			75	s)
					A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR			ALL TO THE PERSON NAMED IN	(WGS 1984 in Meters)
									rs)
									DIMENS
						Carlo 1980			WETLAND WETLAND Y
			100						RIMRO
									RIMROCK/BLUFF DIMENSIONS (ft) angth Ave Height
								_	×
									CHARGE DIMEN
									DIMENSIONS X Y Z Trond
									Springs Est. Discharge

For rimrock, locate the midpoint of the segment that describes the feature. City of Austin Use Only CASE NUMBER: For wetlands, locate the approximate centroid of the feature and the estimated area. For a spring or seep, locate the source of groundwater that feeds a pool or stream.


> Please state the method of coordinate data collection and the approximate precision and accuracy of the points and the unit of measurement.

GPS Method Surveyed sub-meter Accuracy

0 0 > 1 meter meter

Professional Geologists apply seal below

Other



ON

FIGURE 1
TUSCANY PARK TRACT 3
OVERVIEW AND USGS TOPOGRAPHIC MAP






FIGURE 2

TUSCANY PARK TRACT 3 USGS TOPOGRAPHIC MAP





FIGURE 3 TUSCANY PARK TRACT 3 AERIAL IMAGERY






FIGURE 4
TUSCANY PARK TRACT 3

GEOLOGICAL FORMATION WITH 2 FT CONTOURS





FIGURE 5

TUSCANY PARK TRACT 3
USDA SOIL SURVEY








FIGURE 6

TUSCANY PARK TRACT 3 WELL LOCATIONS WITH 2 FT CONTOURS





Legend

Tuscany Park, Tract 3, Austin, TX Historical Aerial (1997)

Site Boundary

Bowman